	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/670,598	LUEKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted M Wang	2634
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ted M Wang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Altmiller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 January 2005	Time: 3:00 p.m.	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  18  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the app is in condition for allowance.		
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
*		
1 / Luliy		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		